<b>Item No.</b> 6.3	Classification: OPEN	Date: 22 January 20		Meeting Name: Planning Sub-Committee A
Report title:	Development Management planning application: Application 12/AP/2999 for: Full Planning Permission  Address: DULWICH AND SYDENHAM HILL GOLF CLUB, GRANGE LANE, LONDON, SE21 7LH  Proposal: Retention of raised height of the existing fencing to 10m high on the north eastern boundary adjacent to the Pynners sports club.			
Ward(s) or groups affected: From:	College The Head of Devel	opment Manage	ement	
Application S	tart Date 30 Octobe			Expiry Date 25 December 2012

#### RECOMMENDATION

1 Grant planning permission.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

2 This application is being reported to Sub-Committee as it is located on Metropolitan Open Land.

#### Site location and description

- The application site is the Dulwich and Sydenham Hill golf course which consists of a large open area with associated ancillary buildings within the site. The specific location of the development is along the north eastern boundary of the site adjacent to the Pynners sports club.
- 4 The surrounding area consists of similar open space sports and recreational facilities with the only buildings within the vicinity consisting of the adjacent Pynners sports club.
- There are no listed buildings within the site and there are none within the immediate vicinity. The site is located within the Dulwich Wood conservation area and is a designated Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC).

#### **Details of proposal**

The proposal seeks to retain a raised height of the existing fencing to 10m high on the north eastern boundary adjacent to the Pynners sports club. The height is necessary to stop golf balls flying over the fence.

#### **Planning history**

7 12/AP/3776 - A planning application has been submitted for the replacement of existing defective irrigation water storage tank with a tank of a larger size and

capacity, however at the time of writing this had not been determined.

- 8 11/AP/0136 Planning permission was granted for a proposed timber framed single storey plant room to house automatic irrigation equipment for the golf course 22/03/11.
- 9 08/AP/2609: Permission granted for demolition of existing and construction of a new plant room within grounds of Dulwich and Sydenham Hill golf course. This was constructed but there have been problems and it is now structurally failing.
- 10 03/AP/2364 Planning permission was granted for the erection of single storey front extension, including ramp for disabled access 01/03/2004.
- 11 9601114 Planning permission was granted for the extension to balcony, extension to ground floor, new entrance canopy, two external staircases and the use of first floor flat as part of the club house and associated elevational alterations and enlarged terrace area -10/01/1997.
- 9600978 Conservation area consent was granted for the demolition of the existing balcony, canopy, external staircase and other minor structures 28/11/1996.

#### Planning history of adjoining sites

13 None relevant.

#### **KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION**

#### **Summary of main issues**

14 Strategic Policy 11 – Open spaces and wildlife

Strategic Policy 12 - Design and conservation

Strategic Policy 13 - High Environmental Standards

#### Southwark Plan 2007 (July) - saved policies

- 15 3.2 'Protection of Amenity'
  - 3.12 'Quality in Design'
  - 3.13 'Urban Design'
  - 3.16 'Conservation Areas'
  - 3.25 'Metropolitan Open Land'
  - 3.28 'Biodiversity'

#### 16 <u>Supplementary Planning Documents</u>

None relevant.

17 For 12 months from 27 March 2012 weight can continue to be given to relevant local planning policies adopted in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, and those in the London Plan, in making decisions on planning applications even if there is a limited degree of conflict with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The weight given to the saved policies of the Southwark Plan should be according to their degree of consistency with policies in the NPPF.

#### National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

18 The NPPF came into effect on 27 March 2012 and is a material planning consideration. The following part is most relevant.

- 19 7. 'Requiring good design'
  - 11. Conserving the natural environment
  - 12. Conserving the historic environment

#### Principle of development

- The application site is situated within Metropolitan Open Land and part (ii) saved policy 3.25 of the Southwark plan states that development will be allowed for:
- 21 (ii) Essential facilities for outdoor sport and outdoor recreation, for cemeteries, and for other uses of land which preserve the openness of MOL and which do not conflict with the purposes of including land within MOL;
- There is no objection to the principle of the development which seeks to raise the height of the existing fencing to 10m high on the north eastern boundary adjacent to the Pynners sports club as this is to prevent golf balls flying to adjoining land. The fence therefore supports the outdoor sports use of the land and the development complies with MOL policy. Whilst high, the fence is transparent, with limited solid struts. It is not considered that this is harmful to the openness of MOL.
- Given the limited length of the high fence, it is not considered that this will have any significant effects on local biodiversity.

#### **Environmental impact assessment**

24 N/A

# Impact of proposed development on amenity of adjoining occupiers and surrounding area

- The adjoining site is in use is a sports club and there are no residential uses within the immediate vicinity. As such, the fencing, due to its location that is a significant distance away from any nearby residential uses, and the open nature of the design, would not impact on the amenity of adjoining occupiers.
- The fencing style subject to this application is one that is typically found within sites of a similar use and it considered that it will not significantly impact on the visual amenity and open character of the area. Although the proposed fencing is higher than the existing, the open nature of the fencing helps to ensure that it would not appear as a dominant or obtrusive feature in the area.
- 27 In terms of health and safety, the applicants state that the fencing is required to restrict uncontrolled gold balls from the third tee of the golf course flying into the neighbouring site. Prior to the instalment, safety issues had been raised by the users of the neighbouring site. It is considered that the additional fencing height at this point will sufficiently overcome these safety concerns and as such no issues are raised on this ground.
- As such, the proposal will not result in a detrimental impact on the amenity and the use of the surrounding area to an extent to warrant refusal and thus complies with Saved Policy 3.2 of the Southwark Plan 2007.

# Impact of adjoining and nearby uses on occupiers and users of proposed development

29 The use of the application site will not be altered and as a result no material impact will be had.

#### **Traffic issues**

The proposed fencing will not have any impact on the operation of any of the nearby footpaths or public highways and as such no issues are raised in this regard.

#### **Design issues**

Overall, the design, use of materials and appearance of the proposed development is considered acceptable, and would not have a detrimental impact on the character or appearance of the area. It is of a common design for sites used as golf courses and situated a substantial distance from any public vantage points.

#### Impact on character and setting of a listed building and/or conservation area

The application site is located within the Dulwich Wood conservation area. The area of higher fencing has no harmful impact on the character, which is of large open spaces where a variety of boundaries are commonplace.

#### Impact on trees

The development will not result in any impacts on any trees.

#### Planning obligations (S.106 undertaking or agreement)

34 Not required for an application of this nature.

#### Sustainable development implications

35 None expected as a result of the development

#### Other matters

- 36 S143 of the Localism Act 2011 states that any financial sum that an authority has received, will, or could receive in the payment of CIL as a material 'local financial consideration' in planning decisions. The requirement for Mayoral CIL is a material consideration. However, the weight to be attached to a local finance consideration remains a matter for the decision-maker. Mayoral CIL is to be used for strategic transport improvements in London, primarily Crossrail.
- 37 The proposed development would not be CIL liable as the proposal does not create a building that people would go to.

## Conclusion on planning issues

38 The proposal does not result in any adverse impacts in terms of design, heritage or loss of amenity and would improve the safety and security within the site. It is also considered that it will not result in any significant impacts on the open nature of the Metropolitan Open Land, or the SINC, and as such it is recommended that planning permission is granted.

#### **Community impact statement**

39 In line with the Council's Community Impact Statement the impact of this application has been assessed as part of the application process with regard to local people in respect of their age, disability, faith/religion, gender, race and ethnicity and sexual

orientation. Consultation with the community has been undertaken as part of the application process.

- a) The impact on local people is set out above.
- b) The issues relevant to particular communities/groups likely to be affected by the proposal have been identified above.
- c) The likely adverse or less good implications for any particular communities/groups have been also been discussed above.

#### **Consultations**

40 Details of consultation and any re-consultation undertaken in respect of this application are set out in Appendix 1.

#### **Consultation replies**

- Details of consultation responses received are set out in Appendix 2.
- 42 <u>Summary of consultation responses</u> None received.

#### **Human rights implications**

- This planning application engages certain human rights under the Human Rights Act 2008 (the HRA). The HRA prohibits unlawful interference by public bodies with conventions rights. The term 'engage' simply means that human rights may be affected or relevant.
- This application has the legitimate aim of providing a new boundary fence in order to reduce the amount of stray golf balls flying into the neighbouring site and thus improve safety. The rights potentially engaged by this application, including the right to a fair trial and the right to respect for private and family life are not considered to be unlawfully interfered with by this proposal.

#### **BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS**

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Site history file: TP/2568-D	Chief Executive's	Planning enquiries telephone:
	Department	020 7525 5403
Application file: 12/AP/2999	160 Tooley Street	Planning enquiries email:
	London	planning.enquiries@southwark.gov.uk
Southwark Local Development	SE1 2QH	Case officer telephone:
Framework and Development		020 7525 5416
Plan Documents		Council website:
		www.southwark.gov.uk

# **APPENDICES**

No.	Title
Appendix 1	Consultation undertaken
Appendix 2	Consultation responses received
Appendix 3	Recommendation

### **AUDIT TRAIL**

Lead Officer	Gary Rice, Head of Development Management				
Report Author	Alex Cameron, Planning Officer				
Version	Final				
Dated	18 December 2012				
Key Decision	No				
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER					
Officer Title		Comments Sought	Comments included		
Strategic Director, Finance and Corporate Services		No	No		
Strategic Director, Environment and Leisure		No	No		
Strategic Director, Housing and Community Services		No	No		
Director of Regeneration		No	No		
Date final report se	ent to Constitutional 1	Геат	10 January 2013		

### Consultation undertaken

**Site notice date:** 27/11/2012

Press notice date: 15/11/12

Case officer site visit date: 27/11/12

**Neighbour consultation letters sent:** 13/11/12

Internal services consulted:

N/A.

Statutory and non-statutory organisations consulted:

N/A.

Neighbours and local groups consulted:

PYNNERS CLOSE SPORTS GROUND DULWICH COMMON LONDON SE21 7EY
FLAT ABOVE PAVILION OLD ALLEYNIANS SPORTS GROUND DULWICH COMMON LONDON SE21 7HA
OLD ALLEYNIANS SPORTS GROUND DULWICH COMMON LONDON SE21 7HA

Re-consultation:

N/A.

# Consultation responses received

Internal services
N/A.
Statutory and non-statutory organisations
N/A.
Neighbours and local groups

None received.